ABSTRACT

Nitrogen has low-efficiency use in agriculture. Nitrogen fertilization with enhanced-efficiency fertilizers is one of the strategies used to increase its efficiency. Polymer urea coating has been used to produce enhanced-efficiency fertilizers. There are a lot of polymers for this type of coating, which may lead to results divergence. Validation of this technology to guarantee its viability in agriculture is necessary. This study aimed to evaluate rice, common bean and corn morphological characteristics, foliar nitrogen contents, yields and nitrogen fertilization efficiency in response to nitrogen rates and sources. Field experiments, formed by a factorial design comparing nitrogen sources (urea and urea coated with Policote®) and rates, besides Control, were carried out. Increasing nitrogen rates elevated rice, common bean and corn morphological characteristics and yields. Urea coated with Policote® showed higher agronomic efficiency of nitrogen fertilization and higher yields than urea in rice, common beans and corn crops. The higher efficiency fertilizer (urea coated with Policote®) allowed to increase rice, common bean and corn yield, as well, to use lower rates to reach the same yield observed with conventional fertilizer. This can be used to increase food availability and to reduce fertilizer consumption.
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RESUMO

O nitrogênio tem baixo aproveitamento na agricultura. A adubação nitrogenada com fertilizantes de eficiência aumentada é uma das estratégias para aumentar sua eficiência. O revestimento de ureia com polímeros tem sido usado para produzir fertilizantes de eficiência
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen (N) is a mineral element required by plants, being part of proteins, nucleic acids and many other important plant constituents, including membranes and various plant hormones (SOUZA & FERNANDES, 2006). It is one of the most limiting nutrients in agricultural production, resulting in widely use of N fertilizers in agriculture. However, nitrogen fertilizer use efficiency in agriculture is low, resulting in economic losses and environmental problems. Mineral fertilizer is one of the most expensive inputs used in agriculture to achieve the desired productivity (BALIGAR et al., 2001), reinforcing the need to use it efficiently.

Several papers have carried out studies evaluating the use of N fertilization, finding efficiencies in the order 33% (RAUN et al., 1999), 44% (MATTOS JR et al., 2002), 41% (CHEN et al., 2008), 33% (ARNALL et al., 2009), 40-60% (MARTÍNEZ-ALCÁNTARA et al., 2013), and 25.2% (CANNAVO et al., 2013). Increasing nitrogen efficiency use is vital to elevate crop yield and quality, reducing nitrogen use, and improving soil, water and air quality (BALIGAR et al., 2001). Several strategies have been used to increase the N fertilization efficiency. Among them, the use of enhanced-efficiency fertilizers (EEF) has been studied more often, recently. Those...
fertilizers contain aggregate technologies that control the release of nutrients or stabilize their chemical transformations in the soil, increasing their availability to the plant.

Such characteristics minimize the potential for nutrient losses to the environment when compared to conventional fertilizers. The EEF can be classified into three classes: slow release, controlled release and stabilized. Slow release fertilizers are fertilizers which release nutrients over a long period of time. A controlled release fertilizer is a granulated fertilizer that releases nutrients gradually into the soil within a given period, measured in months, in a controlled way. Stabilized N fertilizers work by delaying the conversion of N to forms that can be more readily lost to the environment through leaching, denitrification and volatilization. Polymer coated urea has been used to produce EEF. However, there are a lot of polymers for this type of coating. Studies comparing polymer-coated urea to common urea did not lead to conclusive results. While some reports point out advantages of using polymer-coated urea (FAN et al., 2004; NOELLSCH et al., 2009; WILSON et al., 2009; GARCIA et al., 2018), others point to its inefficiency when compared to common urea (NELSON et al., 2009; CAHILL et al., 2010; MCKENZIE et al., 2010; CIVARDI et al., 2011; PRANDO et al., 2013). Therefore, it may be erroneous to consider that all polymer coating will yield the same result. Validation studies of EEFs with polymer coating are thus necessary to ensure the viability of these fertilizers and guarantee its viability in agriculture. This study aimed to evaluate rice, bean and corn yields and nitrogen fertilization efficiency in response to nitrogen rates and sources.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Four field experiments were conducted in rice, common beans and corn crop, using a randomized block design, with four replications, at different regions of Brazil.

In the rice crop, field experiments were conducted at Embrapa Arroz e Feijão, in Santo Antônio de Goiás, Goiás State, Brazil (16° 28’ 00” S, 49°17’00” W and 823 m of altitude), and in Paraúna, Goiás State, Brazil (16° 56’ 52” S, 50°26’55” W and 721 m of altitude). The climate of the region in Santo Antônio de Goiás and Paraúna is Aw, according to the Köppen classification, with an annual average temperature of 23.1 and 23.8 °C, respectively, and annual rainfall of 1,472.8 and 1495 mm, respectively. The soils used in Santo Antônio de Goiás (Red Latosol) and Paraúna (Red Latosol) presented the following chemical characteristics (0–20 cm): pH (H₂O) = 5.7 and 4.2; O.M. = 24 and 18 g dm⁻³; P (Mehlich-1) = 20 and 6.4 mg dm⁻³; K (Mehlich-1) = 2.5 and 2.5 mmol c
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dm\(^{-3}\); Ca = 30 and 15 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); Mg = 11 and 5 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); Al = 0 and 3 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); H+Al = 45 and 51 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); clay = 410 and 390 g kg\(^{-1}\); silt = 200 and 240 g kg\(^{-1}\); sand = 390 and 270 g kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. The experiments were carried out with an incomplete factorial design (3x2)+1, three N rates (15, 30 and 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)), two N sources (Urea: 45% N and Urea coated with Policote\textsuperscript{\textregistered}: 41% N), and Control (without N). Policote\textsuperscript{\textregistered} is an additive based on anionic water-soluble polymers marketed by Wirstchat Polímeros do Brasil. Each experimental plot had six rows, spaced 0.2 m, and six meters long. Four central rows were considered in these experiments and two guard rows were discarded. The cultivar Primavera CL was sown on 10\textsuperscript{th} November, 2009 at Santo Antônio de Goiás and on 15\textsuperscript{th} November, 2011 at Paraúna, after fertilizers (100 kg MAP + 100 kg KCl ha\(^{-1}\)) application in the sowing furrow. Treatments were applied on soil surface at 20 days after plant emergence. Weed, pest and disease controls were made. Foliar sampling (MALAVOLTA et al., 1997) was made at Paraúna’s experiment in order to evaluate N content. The number of panicles/m\(^2\), number of grains/panicle, mass of 1000 grains and rice yield (with moisture corrected to 13%) were evaluated at harvest.

In the common bean crop, a field experiment was conduct in Unaí, Minas Gerais State, Brazil (16° 21’ 27” S, 46°54’22” W and 575 m of altitude). The climate of the region in Unaí is Aw, according to the Köppen classification, with an annual average temperature of 23.5 °C and annual rainfall of 1,275 mm. The soil, classified as a Red-Yellow Latosol (EMBRAPA, 2018), presented the following chemical characteristics (0–20 cm): pH (H\(_2\)O) = 5.0; O.M. = 17 g dm\(^{-3}\); P (Mehlich-1) = 12 mg dm\(^{-3}\); K (Mehlich-1) = 6.4 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); Ca = 25 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); Mg = 9.0 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); Al = 0.0 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); H+Al = 45 mmol\(c\) dm\(^{-3}\); clay = 450 g kg\(^{-1}\); silt = 150 g kg\(^{-1}\); sand = 400 g kg\(^{-1}\). The experiment was carried out with an incomplete factorial design (3x2)+1, three N rates (30, 60 and 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)), two N sources (Urea: 45% N and Urea coated with Policote\textsuperscript{\textregistered}: 41% N) and Control (without N). Each experimental plot had six rows, spaced 0.5 m, and six meters long. Four central rows were considered in these experiments and two guard rows were discarded. The cultivar Pérola was sown on 20\textsuperscript{th} May, 2011 after Monoammonium Phosphate (180 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) application in the sowing furrow. Treatments were applied on soil surface after sowing. Weed, pest and disease controls were made. Foliar sampling (MALAVOLTA et al., 1997) was made in order to evaluate N content. The number of pods/m\(^2\), number of grains/pod\(^{-1}\) and bean yield (with moisture corrected to 13%) were evaluated at harvest.
In the corn crop, a field experiment was conducted at Copagril, in Marechal Cândido Rondon, Paraná State, Brazil (24º 33’ 22” S, 54º03’24” W and 410 m of altitude). The climate of the region in Marechal Cândido Rondon is Cfa, according to the Köppen classification, with an annual average temperature of 20.1 ºC and annual rainfall of 1,656 mm. The soil, classified as a Red Latosol (EMBRAPA, 2018), presented the following chemical characteristics (0–20 cm): pH (H₂O) = 4.40; C = 23.7 g dm⁻³; P (Mehlich-1) = 23.4 mg dm⁻³; K (Mehlich-1) = 6.8 mmol c dm⁻³; Ca = 58.7 mmol c dm⁻³; Mg = 15.6 mmol c dm⁻³; H+Al = 83.6 mmol c dm⁻³. The experiment was carried out with an incomplete factorial (4x2)+1, four N rates (45, 90, 135 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹), two N sources (Urea: 45% N and Urea coated with Policote®: 41% N) and Control (without N). Each experimental plot had six rows, spaced 0.45 m, and six meters long. Four central rows were considered in these experiments and two guard rows were discarded. The hybrid DKB-240pro2 was sown on 27th September, 2013 after 10-20-20 (310 kg ha⁻¹) application in the sowing furrow. Treatments were applied on soil surface after sowing at V4 stage. Weed, pest and disease controls were made. Corn yield (with moisture corrected to 13%) was evaluated at harvest.

Obtained data were submitted to the analysis of variance and regression. Effects of nitrogen sources and rates were only analyzed when there were statistically significant differences between treatments. The model with the highest coefficient of determination was chosen (R²). Statistical analyses were performed using the Assistat program (SILVA & AZEVEDO, 2016). Average yields for N rates and sources were used to calculate N agronomic efficiency, using the equation proposed by Fageria (2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the rice crop, the number of grains panicle⁻¹ (NGP) and the mass of 1000 grains (M1000) were not significantly influenced by nitrogen fertilization in Santo Antônio de Goiás and Paraúna, with averages of 108 and 52, and 24.3 g and 22.6 g, respectively. The number of panicles m⁻² (NPM) was not significantly influenced by nitrogen fertilization in Santo Antônio de Goiás, with an average of 138. However, in Paraúna, this characteristic was significantly influenced by nitrogen fertilization (p<0.01). In Paraúna, NPM increased with nitrogen rates (p <0.01) and were different among nitrogen sources (p <0.05) (Figures 1 and 2). On average, the NPM observed when using Urea coated with Policote® was higher than that observed with urea. In Paraúna, nitrogen leaf content significantly responded to nitrogen fertilization (p <0.01), increasing from 14.0 g kg⁻¹,
without nitrogen fertilization, up to 23.7 g kg\(^{-1}\), with nitrogen fertilization. However, there was no significant difference between the N rates (15, 30 and 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and sources. The observed foliar N contents were lower than those considered adequate by Malavolta et al. (1997).

In Santo Antônio de Goiás, N fertilization increased rice yield (p<0.01), which was different between N sources (p <0.01) and rates (p <0.01) (Figures 3 and 4). On average, higher rice yields were observed when using urea coated with Policote\(^\circledast\) compared to urea. The maximum rice yield observed with urea (3636.9 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was achieved with 48.8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\).

**Figure 1.** Number of panicles m\(^{-2}\) (NPM) under different N rates and sources. Paraúna - Goiás State, Brazil, 2011.

**Figure 2.** Average number of panicles m\(^{-2}\) (NPM) among nitrogen sources. Paraúna - Goiás State, Brazil, 2011. Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ, Tuckey, 5\%. 

\[
y = -0.0687x^2 + 4.8044x + 217.77 \\
R^2 = 0.9967
\]

\[
y = -0.0265x^2 + 3.7903x + 215.64 \\
R^2 = 0.9877
\]
Figure 3. Rice yield under different N rates and sources. Santo Antônio de Goiás - Goiás State, Brazil, 2009.

Figure 4. Average rice yield among nitrogen sources. Santo Antônio de Goiás - Goiás State, Brazil, 2009. Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ, Tuckey, 5%.

The same yield was achieved with 24.6 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and urea coated with Policote®. To obtain the maximum productivity observed with urea, 50.4% of the N rate used with urea was required when using Urea coated with Policote®. With 48.8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (N rate for maximum yield with urea) and Urea coated with Policote® (as N source), rice yield was 3893.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\), which represents a 9.5% increase of yield. With Urea coated with Policote® as N source, the maximum rice yield was 3868.2 kg ha\(^{-1}\), with 50.8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\).

In Paraúna, N fertilization increased rice yield (p<0.01), which was different between N sources (p<0.05) and rates (p<0.01) (Figures 5 and 6). On average, higher rice yields were observed when using Urea coated with Policote® compared to urea. The maximum rice yield observed with urea (3513.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was achieved with 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). The same yield was achieved with 29.7 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and Urea coated with Policote®. To obtain the maximum productivity observed with urea, 49.5% of the N rate used with urea was required when using Urea coated with Policote®. With 60 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (N rate for maximum yield with urea) and Urea coated with Policote® (as N source), rice yield was 3,891.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\), which represents a 7.7% increase of yield.

The N agronomic efficiency indexes (NAEI) at Santo Antônio de Goiás and Paraúna experiments were presented (Table 1). Average NAEI observed at Santo Antônio de Goiás and Paraúna
were 27.6 and 32.8 kg of rice kg of N\(^{-1}\), respectively. These values are similar to those observed in other experiments. Dwivedi et al. (2003) found NAEI ranging from 23.7 to 28.7 kg grain per kg applied N, with 120 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), along 1997 to 2000, for rice crop. Rahman et al. (2014) reported NAEI varying up to 24 - 27 kg kg\(^{-1}\) in rice crop. The higher NAEI observed when using Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\) explains the higher yield observed with this source of nitrogen, in relation to urea.

**Table 1.** N agronomic efficiency indexes (NAEI) for rice crop. Santo Antônio de Goiás – Goiás State, Brazil, 2009 and Paraúna - Goiás State, Brazil, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Santo Antônio de Goiás</th>
<th>Paraúna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>Urea coated with Policote(^{®})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 kg N ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kg N ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In common bean crop, only the number of grains pod\(^{-1}\) was not significantly influenced by nitrogen fertilization, with an average of 4.53. N sources did not influence nitrogen leaf content. However, this characteristic increased with N rates (p <0.01) up to the maximum value of 45.3 g kg\(^{-1}\), at 74.8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Figure 7), which is within the range of levels considered adequate by Malavolta et al. (1997). The number of pods m\(^{-2}\) (NPM) and bean yield increased with nitrogen fertilization (p <0.01), varying between N sources (p <0.01 and p <0.05, respectively) and rates (p <0.01). NPM increased linearly up to 291.5 with 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and urea (Figure 8). However, to achieve the same NPM using Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\), the needed rate was 27.7 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\) provided higher average NPM than urea (Figure 9).

Nitrogen fertilization, as expected, increased linearly common bean yield up to 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Figure 10). Maximum common bean yields with urea and Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\) were 3,697.9 and 4,032.6 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively, an increase of 9.05% with the enhanced N fertilizer. The maximum common bean yield observed with urea at 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), was achieved with Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\) at rate of 69.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), which represents 76.7% of the N rate used with urea to obtain the same yield. Higher efficiency between fertilizers allows higher yields and lower use rate. Urea coated with Policote\(^{®}\) provided higher common bean yield than urea (Figure 11).
Table 2 shows the N agronomic efficiency indexes (NAEI) in the common bean crop. Average NAEI observed for the common bean crop was 18.1 kg of common bean kg of N$^{-1}$. Increasing N rates decreased NAEI, as expected. This is also reported by Farinelli & Lemos (2010) and Amado et al. (2013). The higher NAEI observed when using Urea coated with Policote® explains the higher yield observed with this source of nitrogen, in relation to urea.

Table 2. N agronomic efficiency indexes (NAEI) for the common bean crop. Unaí - Minas Gerais State, Brazil, 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N rates (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Urea</th>
<th>Urea coated with Policote®</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 N ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 N ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 N ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Rice yield under different N rates and sources. Paraúna – Goiás State, Brazil, 2011.

Figure 6. Average rice yield among nitrogen sources. Paraúna – Goiás State, Brazil, 2011. Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ, Tuckey, 5%.
Figure 7. Nitrogen leaf content (NLC) under different nitrogen rates. Unaí - Minas Gerais State, Brazil, 2011.

\[ y = -0.003x^2 + 0.4469x + 28.719 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9999 \]

Figure 8. Number of pods m\(^{-2}\) (NPM) under different N rates and sources. Unaí - Minas Gerais State, Brazil, 2011.

\[ y = -0.0147x^2 + 2.355x + 226.28 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.9916 \]

\[ y = 0.9692x + 204.33 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.7062 \]

Figure 9. Average number of pods m\(^{-2}\) (NPM) among nitrogen sources. Unaí - Minas Gerais State, Brazil, 2011. Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ, Tuckey, 5%.
Corn yield (Figures 12 and 13) was significantly influenced by N sources (p < 0.01) and rates (p < 0.05). Maximum corn yields with urea and Urea coated with Policote® were 203.8 sc ha\(^{-1}\) (12,228 kg ha\(^{-1}\), at 137.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and 215.1 sc ha\(^{-1}\) (12,906 kg ha\(^{-1}\), at 157.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)), respectively, an increase of 5.45% with the enhanced N fertilizer. Corn yield at 137.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) were 12,228 and 12,822 kg ha\(^{-1}\), using urea and Urea coated with Policote®, respectively, an increase of 4.85% with the enhanced N fertilizer. Corn yield of 12,228 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (maximum yield observed with urea) was achieved with 137.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and urea or 73.1 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and Urea coated with Policote®. This Urea coated with Policote® N rate is 53.3% of that used with urea to achieve the same yield. On average, Urea coated with Policote® provided higher corn yield than urea.

Table 3 shows the N agronomic efficiency indexes (NAEI) in the corn crop. Average NAEI observed for the corn crop was 18.1 kg of corn.kg of N\(^{-1}\). Amado et al. (2013) reported NAEI varying between 9 to 43 kg kg\(^{-1}\), with N rates between 30 to 180 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Farinelli & Lemos (2010) reported that the NAEI decreased from 68.5 to 4.5 kg kg\(^{-1}\), when N fertilizer rates increased from 40 to 160 kg ha\(^{-1}\), in no tillage system. The higher NAEI was observed when using Urea.
coated with Policote®, which explains the higher yield observed with this source of nitrogen, in relation to urea.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N agronomic efficiency indexes (kg grain per kg applied N)</th>
<th>Urea coated with Policote®</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 kg N ha⁻¹</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 kg N ha⁻¹</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 kg N ha⁻¹</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12. Corn yield under different N rates and sources. Mal. Cândido Rondon- Paraná State, Brazil, 2013. 01 sc = 60 kg.

Figure 13. Average corn yields among nitrogen sources. Mal. Cândido Rondon- Paraná State, Brazil, 2013. Means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ, Tuckey, 5%.
CONCLUSION

Nitrogen fertilization influences rice, common bean and corn morphological characteristics, foliar N contents, yields and N fertilization efficiency. The higher efficiency fertilizer (Urea coated with Policote®) allowed to increase rice, common bean and corn yield, as well, to use lower rates to reach the same yield observed with conventional fertilizer. This can be used to increase food availability and to reduce fertilizer consumption.
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